

CONSTRUCTION OF A MULTIMEDIA-LEARNING ENVIRONMENT: SECRETARIAL STUDENTS EXPERIENCE

Isa, Abdullahi

Department of Secretarial Studies, Hassan Umsan Katsina Polytechnic, Katsina State

ABSTRACT

In recent years, the infusion of multimedia into teaching and learning has altered considerably the instructional strategy in our educational institutions and changed the way teachers teach and students learn. The traditional teacher-centred method of teaching, used for decades in our educational system, has been modified and enhanced through multimedia. This paper focuses on creating multimedia learning system in the computer laboratory of secretarial studies department of Hassan Usman Katsina Polytechnic. Using a standardized method of random sampling, 35 ND students were randomly selected for the construction of the multimedia learning environment. Students used multimedia design process and the use of an authoring tool, Macromedia Director, and then apply the knowledge they have gained to build a multimedia project of their own. It was discovered that the multimedia learning approach empowers students to construct their own knowledge and enable them to think critically, learn to work in teams and solve problems collectively.

Keywords: Multimedia, collaborative activity, computer oriented software, student-centred, Macromedia, constructivist learning.

INTRODUCTION

With the rapid progress achieved in the last few decades in the Personal Computer (PC) and multimedia technologies, it is now feasible and affordable to integrate multimedia technology into teaching and learning in the classroom. Multimedia refers to any computer-mediated software or interactive application that integrates text, color, graphical images, animation, audio sound, and full motion video in a single application. Multimedia learning systems consist of animation and narration, which offer a potentially venue for improving student understanding (Mayer , 2000). Multimedia instruction (or a multimedia learning environment) involves presenting words and pictures that are intended to promote learning. In short, multimedia instruction refers to designing multimedia presentations in ways that help people build presentations in ways that help students build mental representations.

The traditional chalk-and-talk method of teaching, which has been used for decades in our educational system, has been modified and enhanced by the technological advances. The instructional media in this mode is essentially textual or sometimes graphics. This traditional mode of learning is essentially modeled on the behavioural learning perspective. Basically, the teacher controls the instructional process and is regarded as the source of expert knowledge, which is communicated to the students through lectures in a classroom environment. The teacher decides how much information is to be delivered to the learners while the students remain as the passive and obedient recipients of knowledge and information and play little part in the learning process.

With the use of the PC and multimedia, the scenario immediately changes. Multiple media can now be used in presenting the instructional materials and delivered in a multi-modal environment. Furthermore, educators can incorporate features such as interactivity and navigational links into the content with the assistant of authoring tools such as Director and Author ware, and enable the learners to interact with the content in the way he or she likes best. The presentation is non-linear and is able to foster a two-way communication or interaction between the user and the computer. Learning can take place at the learner's own pace and time. This mode of learning is student-centred or self-directed learning, which will cater to individualistic needs in learning. Unlike the mass learning method as practiced in the teacher-centric or directed instruction mode. It is the aim of this paper to present a step by step approach to constructing a multimedia learning environment in such a way that students can be independent in the learning process.

Multimedia: a constructivist approach to learning

The constructivist approach to learning describes a learning process whereby students work individually or in small group to explore, investigate and solve authentic problems and become actively engaged in seeking knowledge and information rather than being passive recipients as in the traditional teacher-centric learning, which has its foundation embedded in the behavioral learning perspective. In this traditional learning mode, basically, the teacher controls the instructional process, the content is delivered to the entire class and the teacher tends to emphasize factual knowledge and the focus of learning is on the content i.e. how much materials have been delivered and how much have the students learned. Thus, the learning mode tends to be passive and the learners play little part in their learning process (Mayer, 1988).

In the student-centred learning mode, students play an active part in their learning process and become autonomous learners who are actively engaged in constructing new meaning within the context of their current knowledge, experiences and social environments. Learners become successful in constructing knowledge through solving problems that are realistic and usually work in collaboration with others. Although developed in the 2nd half of the 20th century, the constructivist learning approach has its foundation in cognitive learning psychology (Bruner, 1989).

Generally, constructivist learning places emphasis on the learner and propounds that learning is affected by their context and their beliefs and attitudes. Learners are encouraged to seek information and knowledge on their own, determine how to reach the desired learning outcomes themselves and build upon their prior knowledge and experiences rather than relying on teachers to supply them with information. In a constructivist learning environment. Students learn by fitting new information together with what they already know and actively construct their own understanding. Learning takes place in a meaningful, authentic content and is a social, collaborative activity, where peers play an important role in encouraging learning. In doing so, they gain a deeper understanding of the event and thereby constructing their own knowledge and solutions to the problems. In this learning mode, the focus is on the learning process rather than on the content i.e. learning 'how to learn' rather than 'how much is learned'. This learning environment encourages students to develop critical thinking skills, problem-solving and team skills, experiential learning and inter-disciplinary knowledge, with technology being integral to their learning. It also represents a move away from the traditional modes of education to one where the learners are active participants in the learning process.

The Methodology

The adoption of multimedia technologies in the classroom teaching and learning environment has made it possible for learners to become involved in their work and create multimedia application as part of their project requirements. This would enable them to become active participants in their own learning process, making use of the knowledge presented to them by the lecturer, and represent them in a more meaningful way, using different media elements, instead of just being passive learners of the educational content. As such, multimedia application design offers new insights into the learning process of the designer and forces him or her to represent information and knowledge in a new and innovative way.

To create a student-centred learning environment in the classroom, students (N-35) OND Office Technology and Management, were grouped into seven. Each group had to decide on their group members, their team topic and their group leader. The group assigned various tasks to their members and managed their own projects, which is designing of an automated office. They had to use a multimedia authoring tool, Macromedia Director, as the instructional tool to create the project and deliver it on a CD-ROM. As a group, students had to decide on the conceptual model of their presentation, the design of the multimedia interface, navigation paths and the interactive features used to best convey their topic of interest. In the process, they had to employ their experience and previously acquired knowledge in different disciplines to breakdown the application design into various component parts, synthesize the media elements that represent the information, create the digital interactive application, and work as a team to accomplish the project's overall objectives as well as their own learning outcomes. The teacher and students met twice to accomplish the project's overall progress of their group projects and to consult on any issues or concerns that they may arise. Students were given the entire semester (15 weeks) to develop their projects. Since these students have had no prior knowledge in multimedia authoring and authoring tools, they were given lectures and tutorials in order to provide them with basic skills in multimedia application development. They

have a prior experience in design and other multimedia software such as Adobe Photoshop, Macromedia Flash and SoundForge, which they can utilize together with Director to develop their projects.

This student-centred learning environment is constructivist in approach in that multiple perspectives to the problems can be developed and students can actively participate in their own learning process. Thus, by designing a multimedia application that is multi-sensory and interactive, students are challenged to develop skills in problem-solving, and to exercise analytical, critical and creative thinking in their work, to learn more about their chosen subject material and to develop their abilities to analyze and draw conclusions from it. The role of the teacher in this class was that of a facilitator and consultant to these students, supporting them in their process of learning and constructing their projects.

The Construction of Interactive multimedia

The students' interactive multimedia development process began with an ideation process that was implemented using technology and finally resulting in a tangible final product. That is, the interactive media application, which was turned in to the lecturer for evaluation. In terms of documenting their development, students undertook a multimedia development process. A five-phase procedure that led them from the drawing board to the computer and finally to the CD-ROM. The five phases of the MDP were as follows:

Phase One: Planning and Organizing

In this initial stage, students had to first decide on their team members. Here, the groups embarked on a planning and organizing process, which entailed scheduling meetings for discussion. Working with their timetables for research and development times, team organization, and discussion of the team's topic. In this phase, brainstorming was prevalent and the ideation process gave way to the conceptualization of their pool of topics, which in due course was narrowed down to one. The chosen topic was then presented to the lecturer for discussion. Storyboarding was also carried out to better visualize the topic and its flow of action, and division of tasks were made.

Phase Two: Research and Information Gathering

In this phase, the groups set out to collect as much information about their chosen topic as possible. Many of this information gathering and research activities were carried out on their own time; which included interviews, collecting brochures, and meeting with key persons. Materials that were gathered during this process were mostly analogue data. The groups had to learn about being professional in their approach as many were dealing with the corporate industry, improve their presentation and communication skills in order to access relevant people and be successful in their endeavours.

Phase Three: Digital Media content Acquisition

The digital media content acquisition involved groups organizing the digital media content to be used in their final application and to plan to acquire them. This included deciding on whether to create the digital media content themselves, or use third-party content. For example, many groups wanted to use digital video footage for their applications, and therefore had to use a digital video camera and edit the media appropriately. Other groups preferred to use footage in the polytechnic archives. Whatever their sources, these groups would have to use media-editing software such as Adobe Photoshop (for images), Macromedia Sound Forge (for sound), and Adobe Premier (for video) to achieve their aims.

Phase Four: Multimedia Authoring

This phase involves three significant procedures: the integration of the digital content, the incorporation of interactive and navigation, and packaging it onto a CD-ROM. Here, students would use the multimedia authoring tool, Macromedia Director, to integrate all the media elements that they had acquired during the previous phases. After doing that, they would then decide on the navigational structure of the application, based on their storyboards. Interactive features were also incorporated into the application to create user involvement. These features include hotspots, menus, buttons, hypertext and hyperlinks. Finally, when the application was completed, it would be packaged into a standalone application.

Phase Five: Reflection

Here students were given a chance to reflect on their work and their interactions with their team members and group leaders. This was carried out via students' feedback and interviews.

Assessment and results

In terms of assessment. Several criteria were applied to the projects. In particular, the students were assessed on:

1. Their creativity and originality in developing their applications.
2. the depth of content displayed in the applications and their documentation
3. The successful and effective transformation of their concept from ideation to the final executable product.
4. The successful and effective implementation of the multimedia design process, with the appropriate use of the multimedia authoring tool, Director, and other helper applications.
5. The level of difficulty achieved in using the multimedia authoring tool in terms of navigation and interactivity.
6. Proper representation of the content via media elements.
7. teamwork and group management
8. Overall presentation of digital application and documentation.

Overall, the class did well in their projects and class results. 31% of the class achieved 'A' grades. 57% achieved 'B' grades and 13% achieved 'C' grades. The result is consistent with the overall class performance in doing the project, where those who scored high overall results in the class also scored high marks for their projects.

Evaluation of Student Learning

Students in this student-centred learning environment were evaluated through a 5-point Likert scale (N=35), with 1 strongly Disagree (SDA), 2 for Agree (A), 3 for Undecided (UD), 4 for Disagree (D), and 5 for Strongly Agree (SA). The items in the multimedia environment made up several constructs to measure many student-centred learning traits, such as problem-solving skills, collaborative efforts and teamwork. The results of the multimedia environment showed that all items measured yielded means of 3.64 and above (see table 1), thus showing that the students were enthusiastic about the project and were very positive in their attitudes towards working on a multimedia project and in working in teams.

The statistical tools used

Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the data in this study. The measure of central tendency, or the mean, was statistically used in the data analysis.

Mean: The average value of a dataset, i.e. the sum of all the data divided by the number of variables. The arithmetic mean is commonly called the "average". When the word "mean" is used without a modifier, it usually refers to the arithmetic mean. The mean is a good measure of central tendency for symmetrical (e.g. normal) distributions but can be misleading in skewed distributions since it is influenced by outliers. In general, the mean is larger than the median in positively skewed distributions and less than the median in negatively skewed distributions. It is based on this advantage that the study adopted the use of Mean in the data analysis.

Formula for the arithmetic mean: where X is the raw data and N or n is the number of scores

$$\mu_x = \frac{\sum X}{N} \quad \text{mean of a population}$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{n} \quad \text{mean of a sample}$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1: Means for items on multimedia environment on students experience.

Items	Mean
Found the Project challenging	4.17
Better able to represent concept using digital multimedia	4.15
Project allowed me to be creative in my thinking	4.15
Able to have creative input	4.02
Understood subject better after project	3.98
Project allowed me to think critically about the topic	3.98
Felt very motivated doing this project	3.98
The group was able to achieve its goals	3.83
Able to learn more working with team members	3.83

The multimedia environment showed that students responded positively to the multimedia projects. Multimedia projects are challenging to student ($p=91\%$, mean = 4.17). Item 2 showed that the project enabled them to think creatively ($p=91\%$, mean= 4.15). Item 3 indicated they were able to represent effectively their concepts, knowledge and information using multimedia elements ($p=91\%$, mean 4.15). Students indicated that their understanding of the subject matter became better ($p=89\%$, mean = 3.98), in tem 4 while doing the project own their own. This is in line with the work of Wilson (2001), that students undertaking a multimedia learning environment achieve 78% better understanding of the subject matter under study.

In items 5 and 6, it was observed that students were able to have creative input in the projects ($p=83\%$, mean= 4.02), and exercised their critical thinking on their topics ($p=83\%$, mean=3.98). Students were motivated by the project ($p=76\%$, mean=3.98), achieved group goals ($p=83\%$, mean=3.83) and enjoyed their teamwork with their peers ($p=83\%$, mean=3.83). This agrees with the findings of Petre (2001) in his study of students' learning behaviour in a multimedia learning environment, that students achieve their best and attain high scores when allowed to build their own learning environment through a multimedia approach.

It was observed that the items rated highest by the students in the multimedia environment concerned the use of multimedia in the projects, the ability to be creative and the challenge that the project posed to them. This indicated that these students liked to conceptualize and express their ideas with a combination of media elements and bring to the project innovative ideas, which were derived from their group discussion and brainstorming sessions among themselves.

From the above observations, it can also be concluded that his project empowered students to develop and exercise their creative and critical thinking skills through their organizational and research activities and in the conversion of their initial ideas and concepts into their concrete projects. They learned about planning development and project management, and how to select the appropriate hardware and software applications for their development, skills that are important in a real world setting. In addition, through working with an authoring tool and using other applications to build their projects, students were able to acquire the technical skills in multimedia technology and incorporate interactive features into their presentation. Furthermore, in many of the groups, students had to develop their communication and presentation skills since gathering information on their chosen topics involved interviewing people and visiting the actual sites. They also had to learn to select the appropriate information to display in the electronic applications.

In the multimedia environment, teamwork was rated favourably (mean=3.83), indicating that students found teamwork and cooperation essential for them to complete their project and to take advantage of the skills and expertise of teach member of the team. In the interviews conducted during the "Reflection" phase on the MDP, some of the feedback expressed by the students included:

1. "we got to know each other better since ewe spent a lot of time together"

2. "We learnt more about our topic. Fun to know everyone on the team and had fun shooting video, never done it before".
3. "we learnt more about multimedia, developing a CD-ROM, software, navigation and interactivity"

The students were able to develop interpersonal skills and take part in brainstorming activities while making decisions concerning their project. Many expressed the ability to work through their problems via group discussions as an integral part to the successful completion of their projects and in achieving their group goals (Trevitt, 1995). This aspect of the project is important as teamwork is crucial to the success of a knowledge-based IT curriculum in which collaboration and knowledge-sharing among students in a team constitutes the very essence of electronic learning.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

The results indicated in this project showed clearly that multimedia technology greatly influences a student's learning process and widens the scope of learning skills and knowledge. This multimedia mode of learning provides an alternative to the traditional teacher-centric learning and enables students to enjoy a richer e-learning environment. It empowers students to become active learners and display their ideas and information in terms of the mode format and use their higher level thinking skills like analysis, synthesis, evaluation and reflection while solving authentic problems. This learning mode also allows the teacher the flexibility to present their curriculum in an innovative manner.

The teacher should act as a facilitator, a consultant or guide on the side, helping students to access, organize and obtain information. This is to provide solutions to the problems rather than one the one supplying and prescribing information and knowledge to the learners, as in the traditional behaviourist learning mode. In this learning mode, student learning, in particular, the learning process, should become the main focus, not the content. The teacher should employ the necessary technology that could provide the most desired multimedia learning environment; thus the teacher should always encourage student-centred learning environment using multimedia technology can contribute substantially toward enhancing student learning and the learning process.

Reference

- Bruner, D. (1989). The Effectiveness and Cost of Interactive Videodisc Instruction. In *Machine-Mediated Learning*, volume 3, 361-385
- Mayer, R. (2002). Aids to Computer-based Multimedia Learning. In *Learning and Instruction*, volume 12, 107-119.
- Mayer, L.J. (1988). Multimedia information and learning. In *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 5, 129-150
- Petre, D. (2001), The effect of Multimedia Learning Environment of Students' Performance. *The Journal of Instructional Technology*, Vol. 2(11), pp 12-15.
- Quintana, Y. (1996). *Evaluating the Value and Effectiveness of Internet- Based Learning*. INET96 Proceedings. Montreal, Canada. Check. This was not cited in the text
- Trevitt, A. (1995). *Interactive Multimedia in University Teaching and Learning: Some Pointers to Help Promote Discussion of Design Criteria*. Presented at the session on package development at the Computers in University Biological Education virtual Conference.
- Wilson, K. (1992). *Interactive Multimedia Learning Environments* (pp.186-196). Berlin: Springer- Verlag.

Received for Publication: 12/04 /2010

Accepted for Publication: 02/05 /2010